



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4834
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND PERFORMANCE OF SOLAR DRYERS WITH BACKUP INCINERATORS FOR NSUKKA SUB-TROPICAL AND MAKURDI HUMID LOCATIONS USING SELECTED FARM PRODUCE
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is not well presented. it is poor of information . I do not recommend publication of the manuscript. The manuscript is not well presented. The authors need to ameliorate their introduction. A lot of new and representative papers dealing with the treated subject can be added by the authors. A detailed schema of the different dryer components with dimensions should be added to the manuscript. This is not the right way to present drying results, generally curve drying (kg/kg dry basis) are more representative also the drying rate. Presenting the results in tables format has no sense and difficult to understand the numbers meaning. Further discussion of the results should be added by added by the authors.	Part of this study has been published in a prestigious journal paper; this present study is limited to the scope it is set out to address and it comparative too. The introductory section is quite necessary and informative too: more direct objectives has been added to throw more light; see yellow highlights The detailed scheme of the incinerator dryer studied and presented with component dimensions is has been added: see section 2.1; and figs 1 and 2. The tables and graphs give enough information about the drying rate (kg/kg dry mass). Further discussion has been added, see yellow highlights
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--